

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/A1720/W/20/3254389

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference

Appeal By

Site Address

SENDER DETAILS

Name

Address

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I have studied all the relevant proposals which in my opinion fail to even cover the road safety and increased traffic issue of adding 57+ cars into a historic village lane that is already used as a 'rat run'.

Additionally concerns regarding the environmental impact of building a development so close to the Titchfield Haven hasn't been addressed- stating that homes will be 500m away from the limits of the reserve is like saying its fine to build houses near a power station because its 500m away.

Finally if planting trees will 'soften' the current Bellfield estate, why do we feel the need to add additional 57 houses- just plant the trees to soften Bellfield estate and construct new homes on brownfield sites.

I have written many a professional report in my career and I can recognise 'smoke and mirrors' and white washing in the developers appeal. The development is bad for safety, bad for the environment and frankly unnecessarily greedy. Just because someone wants to profit from a greenfield site doesn't mean they should be allowed.